

10592925

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 29773	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/DE2005/000430	International filing date (day/month/year) 10 March 2005 (10.03.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 15 March 2004 (15.03.2004)
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG		

1. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).

2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.

In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. I | Basis of the report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. II | Priority |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. III | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. IV | Lack of unity of invention |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VI | Certain documents cited |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VII | Certain defects in the international application |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application |

4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	Date of issuance of this report 29 November 2006 (29.11.2006) Authorized officer Ellen Moyse e-mail: pt05@wipo.int
---	--

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION

PCT

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100%; height: 100%;"></div>		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	See form PCT/ISA/210
Applicant's or agent's file reference 29773		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
International application No. PCT/DE2005/000430	International filing date (day/month/year) 10.03.2005	Priority date (day/month/year) 15.03.2004	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G06F9/38, G06F5/06, G06F13/40			
Applicant INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG			

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. I | Basis of the opinion |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. II | Priority |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. III | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. IV | Lack of unity of invention |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VI | Certain documents cited |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VII | Certain defects in the international application |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application |

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/DE2005/000430

Box No. 1

Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

☐

This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language

_____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

☐

a sequence listing

☐

table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

☐

in written format

☐

in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing

☐

contained in the international application as filed.

☐

filed together with the international application in computer readable form.

☐

furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/DE2005/000430

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	_____	YES
	Claims	1	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	_____	YES
	Claims	2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-9	YES
	Claims	_____	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

1. Reference is made to the following document:
D1: US 6 055 597 A (HOUG ET AL) 25 April 2000 (2000-04-25)

2. It is assumed that the formulations "whether the ... is ..." and "if ... is ..." in claim 1 refer to the same decision. However, this idea must be phrased in an unambiguous way in claim 1 (PCT Article 6 and PCT Rule 6).

3. INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

3.1. The present application does not satisfy the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claim 1 is not novel within the meaning of PCT Article 33(2).

Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses are to D1):

- computer system for electronic data processing,
having:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/DE2005/000430

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
citations and explanations supporting such statement

- first and second data processing units, and a data transmission memory device that is coupled with said first data processing unit on the input side and with said data processing unit on the output side (*abstract*),

- a data transmission memory device having a first memory area and a second memory area, said first memory area and said second memory area being configured (*abstract*) to save one data set each, and the data transmission memory device being configured such that a data set that is to be transmitted from the first data processing unit to the second data processing unit is transmitted according to the following steps:

(*abstract*)

- relaying information as to whether the second data processing unit is ready to transmit data to the data transmission memory device (*figure 2*);

- deciding whether copying is enabled based on whether the second data processing unit is ready to transmit data (*figure 2*);

- relaying to the first memory area and to the memories in the first memory area the data contained in the data set to be transmitted (*figure 2*);

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/DE2005/000430

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
citations and explanations supporting such statement

- copying the data set saved in the first memory area into the second memory area when copying is enabled (*figure 2*);

- relaying the data set saved in the second memory area to the data processing unit (*abstract*).

4. DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-9

Claims 2-9 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer back, meet the PCT requirements for novelty and inventive step.

4.1. In claim 2, it is obvious for a person skilled in the art to allow copying from the first to the second memory area only when no data transfer is taking place with the data processing units in order to prevent conflicts. Therefore, the claim is not inventive.

4.2. The features of claims 4, 5 and 9 represent only one of several obvious possibilities from which a person skilled in the art would choose according to the circumstances in order to solve the problem of interest, without thereby exercising inventive skill.

4.3. The features of claims 6, 7 and 8 correspond to those of a shadow register and have been known in this technical field for a long time. For this reason, the claims are not inventive.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43*bis*.1)

Date of mailing
(day/month/year)

See form PCT/ISA/210

Applicant's or agent's file reference

29773

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No.

PCT/DE2005/000430

International filing date (day/month/year)

10.03.2005

Priority date (day/month/year)

15.03.2004

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

G06F9/38, G06F5/06, G06F13/40

Applicant

INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. I | Basis of the opinion |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. II | Priority |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. III | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. IV | Lack of unity of invention |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VI | Certain documents cited |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VII | Certain defects in the international application |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application |

- ## 2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP

Authorized officer

Facsimile No.

Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/DE2005/000430

Box No. 1

Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

☐

This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language

, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under

Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

- a. type of material

☐

a sequence listing

☐

table(s) related to the sequence listing

- b. format of material

☐

in written format

☐

in computer readable form

- c. time of filing/furnishing

☐

contained in the international application as filed.

☐

filed together with the international application in computer readable form.

☐

furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/DE2005/000430

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Claims

YES

Claims

1

NO

Inventive step (IS)

Claims

YES

Claims

2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9

NO

Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims

1-9

YES

Claims

NO

2. Citations and explanations:

1. Reference is made to the following document:

D1: US 6 055 597 A (HOUG ET AL) 25 April 2000 (2000-04-25)

2. It is assumed that the formulations "whether the ... is ..." and "if ... is ..." in claim 1 refer to the same decision. However, this idea must be phrased in an unambiguous way in claim 1 (PCT Article 6 and PCT Rule 6).

3. INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

- 3.1. The present application does not satisfy the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claim 1 is not novel within the meaning of PCT Article 33(2).

Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses are to D1):

- computer system for electronic data processing,
having:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/DE2005/000430

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
citations and explanations supporting such statement

- first and second data processing units, and a data transmission memory device that is coupled with said first data processing unit on the input side and with said data processing unit on the output side (*abstract*),

- a data transmission memory device having a first memory area and a second memory area, said first memory area and said second memory area being configured (*abstract*) to save one data set each, and the data transmission memory device being configured such that a data set that is to be transmitted from the first data processing unit to the second data processing unit is transmitted according to the following steps:

(*abstract*)

- relaying information as to whether the second data processing unit is ready to transmit data to the data transmission memory device (*figure 2*);

- deciding whether copying is enabled based on whether the second data processing unit is ready to transmit data (*figure 2*);

- relaying to the first memory area and to the memories in the first memory area the data contained in the data set to be transmitted (*figure 2*);

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/DE2005/000430

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
citations and explanations supporting such statement

- copying the data set saved in the first memory area into the second memory area when copying is enabled (*figure 2*);

- relaying the data set saved in the second memory area to the data processing unit (*abstract*).

4. DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-9

Claims 2-9 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer back, meet the PCT requirements for novelty and inventive step.

4.1. In claim 2, it is obvious for a person skilled in the art to allow copying from the first to the second memory area only when no data transfer is taking place with the data processing units in order to prevent conflicts. Therefore, the claim is not inventive.

4.2. The features of claims 4, 5 and 9 represent only one of several obvious possibilities from which a person skilled in the art would choose according to the circumstances in order to solve the problem of interest, without thereby exercising inventive skill.

4.3. The features of claims 6, 7 and 8 correspond to those of a shadow register and have been known in this technical field for a long time. For this reason, the claims are not inventive.